Asymmetric Desymmetrization Based on an Intramolecular Haloetherification: A Highly Effective and Recyclable Chiral Nonracemic Auxiliary, 2-exo-Methyl-3-endo-phenyl-5-norbornene-2-carboxaldehyde, for meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-Diols

Hiromichi Fujioka,* Tetsuya Fujita, Naoyuki Kotoku, Yusuke Ohba, Yasushi Nagatomi, Atsushi Hiramatsu, and Yasuyuki Kita^{*[a]}

Abstract: A new chiral auxiliary, a 3 endo-phenyl norbornene aldehyde derivative, which is a crystalline, very stable, and easily handled, was developed for the desymmetrization of meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-diols. The key step of the method, an intramolecular bromoetherification, proceeded in a highly diastereoselective manner. A four-step sequence, 1) acetalization,

2) intramolecular bromoetherification followed by acid hydrolysis, 3) protection of the alcohol, and 4) retrobromoetherification, transformed the meso-diols into optically active deriva-

Keywords: asymmetric synthesis · chiral auxiliaries · desymmetrization · diols · norbornene

tives. The 3-endo-phenyl norbornene aldehyde derivative was simultaneously reformed and could be used repeatedly. This is the first chemical example of a single auxiliary that is applicable for highly enantioselective desymmetrization of meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-diols; to the best of our knowledge, this is the best chemical method available for the desymmetrization of meso-1,4-diols.

Introduction

Desymmetrization of meso-diols is a very useful way to get optically active compounds and has been investigated in many ways by using chemical or enzymatic methods.^[1] For the chemical methods, many good desymmetrization methods for *meso*-1,2-diols have been reported.^[2] However, the desymmetrization methods for meso-1,3-diols are few[3] and only a few chemical desymmetrization methods for meso-1,4-diols, to our best knowledge, have been reported.^[4] Quite recently, a new asymmetric catalyst was developed for the desymmetrization of the meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-diols by Trost and Mino.^[4c]

[a] Prof. Dr. H. Fujioka, T. Fujita, N. Kotoku, Y. Ohba, Y. Nagatomi, A. Hiramatsu, Prof. Dr. Y. Kita Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Osaka University 1–6, Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka, 565-0871 (Japan) Fax: (+81) 6-6879-8229 E-mail: fujioka@phs.osaka-u.ac.jp kita@phs.osaka-u.ac.jp Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW

under http://www.chemeurj.org/ or from the author. It contains the ¹³C NMR spectra of the compounds that were also analyzed by highresolution mass spectra.

Recently, we developed a new desymmetrization method for meso-1,2-diols by using the chiral nonracemic methylnorbornene aldehyde $1a$ as an auxiliary.^[5] We then succeeded in the optical resolution of other norbornene aldehyde derivatives and found that 3-exo-phenylnorbornene aldehyde 1**b** is a better choice as an auxiliary for the desymmetrization of meso-1,2-diols because it overcomes the disadvantage of $1a$, that is, volatility.^[6] In our method, the desymmetrization step of the meso-1,2-diols depends on the difference between the activation energies of the two transition states (i and ii) formed by the intramolecular bromoetherification of acetals derived from $1a$ or $1b$ and the *meso*-1.2-diols. A large difference in the activation energies between transition state i and transition state ii causes the discrimination of the two oxygen atoms of the acetals in a highly diastereoselective manner (Scheme 1).

We then applied this method to the desymmetrization of the $meso-1,3$ - and $meso-1,4$ -diols by using **1b**. However, the results were fruitless. The acetalization of 1b with the meso-1,3-diol, meso-2,4-pentanediol (2), gave the single acetal 3, but its intramolecular bromoetherification afforded a diastereomeric mixture of the nine-membered acetals 4 in a ratio of 6.5:1. The insufficient discrimination of the two oxygen atoms was ascertained by acid hydrolysis of 4 to give the aldehyde, which was still a 6.5:1 mixture. In the case of the $meso-1,4$ -diol $5a$, acetalization did even not produce the

Scheme 1. Desymmetrization of meso-1,2-diols by using 3-exo-substituted norbornene aldehydes (1a or 1b). $PG =$ protecting group, $Bn =$ benzyl, Deriv. $=$ derivative.

Scheme 2. Desymmetrization of meso-1,3-diol (2) and meso-1,4-diol (5a) by using 1b. NBS=N-bromosuccinimide, p-TsOH=toluene-4-sulfonic acid.

single acetal; instead acetals 6 were formed as a mixture in a ratio of 13:1. We therefore required a new auxiliary for the desymmetrization of meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-diols (Scheme 2).

Crucial steps in our method are the acetalization and discrimination of the two oxygen atoms, an intramolecular bromoetherification. Based on this requirement, especially the discrimination step, a large difference in the activation energies between the two transition states is needed to realize sufficient desymmetrization.^[7] We therefore planned the synthesis of 3-endo-substituted norbornene aldehyde derivatives, which would produce a large difference between transition states iii and iv because the 3-endo substituent $R¹$ might inhibit the rotation of the acetal moiety that would lead to the formation of the endo isomer iv during the intramolecular bromoetherification (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Perspective view of the effect of the 3-endo substituent in the intramolecular bromoetherification.

Based on the above concept, we first examined the desymmetrization of meso-1,4-diols because the chemical methods for their desymmetrization are rare, as mentioned before.[4] We found that the 2-endo-aldehyde norbornene aldehyde derivative 7 a was a good auxiliary. A 2 exo-methyl group was introduced to prevent the epimerization of the 3-endo-phenyl group. The aldehyde 7a also proved to be effective for the desymmetrization of the meso-1,3-diols. Scheme 4 shows a summary of our transformations: 1) acetalization of 7a with *meso*-diols, 2) intramolecular bromoetherification in the presence of MeOH followed by acid hydrolysis, 3) protection of the alcohol, then 4) retrobromoetherification. In this transformation, 7a was regenerated along with the optically active diol derivatives and could be used again. This is completely different from our previous method (see Scheme 1) where the ene acetals are regenerated and it makes our new method more effective. Although exo-

substituted norbornene aldehydes 1a and 1b need mixedacetal structures for good retrobromoetherification, 7 a does not need mixed-acetal structures for retrobromoetherification and aldehydes are good precursors for retrobromoetherification. This is an advantage because the retrobromoetherification of the mixed acetals requires careful reaction-temperature control (about 70° C) to prevent reacetalization of the resulting hydroxy acetals (see Scheme 1). On the other hand, the retrobromoetherification depicted in

Scheme 4. Desymmetrization of *meso*-diols by using 3-*endo*-phenyl norbornene aldehyde $7a$. Tf=triflate=trifluoromethanesulfonyl.

Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5386–5397 <www.chemeurj.org> © 2004 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 5387 5387

Scheme 4 does not require careful reaction-temperature control, because the acetalization no longer occurs between the resulting **7a** and the optically active diol derivatives when one of the two hydroxy groups is protected.

Results and Discussion

Design of new auxiliary: As mentioned before (see Scheme 3), we speculated that the 3-*endo* substituent would enhance the diastereoselectivity during the key step of desymmetrization, that is, the intramolecular bromoetherification. We synthesized two 3-endo-substituted norbornene aldehyde derivatives, the phenyl-substituted and methyl-substituted compounds **7a** and **7b**. Aldehydes (\pm) -**7a**,**b** were prepared by the usual way (Scheme 5): 1) Diels–Alder reac-

Scheme 5. Preparation of racemic 3-endo-substituted norbornene aldehydes 7a and 7b and their acetalization with 5a. LDA=lithium diisopropylamide.

tion of methyl Z -cinnamate^[8] or methyl isocrotonate with cyclopentadiene to give 8, 2) methylation of 8 by treatment with LDA followed by methyl iodide to give 9 , 3) LiAlH₄ reduction of 9 to give 10, and 4) Swern oxidation^[9] of 10 to give (\pm) -7a,b. Without the 2-*exo*-methyl group, the 2-*endo*aldehyde groups would easily epimerize to the exo conformation because of the steric repulsion of 3-endo substituents. Although acetalization of 7b with 5a gave the transacetal 11b and a small amount of the *cis* isomer, the phenylsubstituted norbornene aldehyde 7a afforded the single isomer 11a (Scheme 5). Compound 7a was therefore determined to be the auxiliary of choice.

Preparation of optically pure 2-exo-methyl-3-endo-phenyl-5 norbornene-2-carboxaldehydes $(-)$ -7 a and $(+)$ -7 a: Optically pure **7a** was obtained from (\pm) -**7a** by using our recently developed method.^[6] Two diastereomeric acetals 12 and 13, obtained by the reaction of (\pm) -7a and (R,R) -hydroben $zoin^{[10]}$ were treated with NBS (0.5 equiv) in the presence of H2O (5 equiv) to give the hydroxy aldehyde 14 from 12 and intact 13. Retrobromoetherification of 14 gave the optically pure (-)-7a and (R,R) -hydrobenzoin. Intact 13 was hydrolyzed with 80% aqueous CF₃COOH to give $(+)$ -7a (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Optical resolution of racemic **7a**. DMA= N , N -dimethylacetamide.

Desymmetrization of meso-1,4-diols: All of the transformations for desymmetrization of the meso-1,4-diols by using 5 norbornene-2-endo-3-endo-dimethanol 5a are depicted in Schemes 7 and 9. Acetalization of $(-)$ -7a with 5a afforded the sole product 11a, whose stereochemistry was determined to be trans by X-ray crystal analysis and mechanistic considerations (see below). Intramolecular bromoetherification of 11a in the presence of MeOH gave the ten-membered mixed acetal 15a in a highly diastereoselective manner (Scheme 7). Its stereochemistry was determined by

Scheme 7. Acetalization of $(-)$ -7a and its intramolecular bromoetherification.

X-ray crystal analysis of $15b'$, which was obtained by the intramolecular bromoetherification of the ene acetal from 5b and (+)-7 a (Scheme 8, see Table 1). Since haloetherification of the ene acetal with MeOH proceeds in an S_N2 manner,^[5]

Scheme 8. X-ray crystal structure of 15b'.

the stereochemistry of the acetal ring of 11a was determined to be *trans* based on the X-ray crystal structure of $15b'$.^[11]

Next, we tried the retrobromoetherification reaction of 15a by using the conditions indicated in Scheme 1 (Zn powder and $MgBr₂$ or $Zn(OTf)₂$, but the desired product was not obtained. Therefore, we developed another method of transformation as shown in Scheme 9. The hydrolysis of

Scheme 9. Conversion of 15a into 18a. 1) p -TsOH, acetone, H₂O; 2) TBDPSCl, imidazole; 3) Zn, Zn(OTf)₂, DMA. TBDPS=tert-butyldiphenylsilyl.

15a afforded the hydroxy aldehyde 16a in high yield. Although the use of $H₂O$ in place of MeOH for the intramolecular bromoetherification directly afforded 16 a, the diastereoselectivity decreased (66% de), maybe as a result of the difference in nucleophilicity between MeOH and H_2O . Protection of the alcohol group of 16a gave the silyl ether 17 a. Retrobromoetherification of 17 a by use of Zn powder in the presence of $Zn(OTf)$ afforded the optically active diol derivative 18a and the starting aldehyde 7a, which was reused. The absolute configuration of 18a was determined by conversion into the known lactone 19, as shown in Scheme 10. Thus, Swern oxidation of 18a followed by desilylation gave the lactol, which was oxidized by PCC to give the known lactone 19. The optical rotation value of our synthetic 19 ($\left[\alpha\right]_D^{22} = -142.2$ (CHCl₃)) showed the opposite sign to that of $(2S,3R)$ -19 $([a]_D^{20} =$ $+143.2$ (CHCl₃)).^[12]

Table 1 shows the results of the desymmetrization of various bicyclo-meso-1,4-diols (see Schemes 4, 7, and 9). In each of these cases, acetalization of $(-)$ -7a with the *meso*-diols proceeded stereoselectively to give sole products, whose stereochemistries were determined as trans by considering the result of 5 a and the absolute configuration of the diol derivative 18a. The subsequent intramolecular bromoetherification, protection of the alcohol, and debromoetherificatin proceeded smoothly without problems. It

Scheme 10. Conversion of 18a into lactone 19. 1) Swern oxdidation: 2) TBAF; 3) PCC. TBAF=tetrabutylammonium fluoride, PCC=pyridinium chlorochromate.

is noteworthy that bromoetherification occurred only in an intramolecular fashion, not only for acetals from saturated diols but also for acetals from unsaturated diols.

Previous chemical desymmetrizations of meso-1,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)cyclopropane 5e or *meso-4*,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)cyclohexene $5f$ resulted in poor enantioselectivity.^[4a] However, when these compounds were used in our method, good results were obtained (Schemes 11 and 12). The acetalization of 5e and 5f with $(-)$ -7a gave two isomers; the isomers of 11e were difficult to separate and of 11f were inseparable by the usual $SiO₂$ column. However, their intramolecular bromoetherification in the presence of MeOHafforded two ten-membered acetals whose R_f values are different (15 e: major 0.21, minor 0.14; 15 f: major 0.42, minor 0.35; TLC with hexane/AcOEt (10:1)). Easy separation of these isomers by using the usual $SiO₂$ column gave the pure acetals (15e and 15f). In our desymmetrization cycle, three new chiral centers are formed when MeOH is used as the nucleophile in the intramolecular bromoetherification and this usually allows easy separation of the isomers. After obtaining the pure compounds, it is not difficult to get the optically pure diol derivatives (18e and 18f). The optical rotation value of 18e was in good agreement with the reported value, $[13]$ so the absolute configuration of 18 e was determined and the relative stereochemistries of the other compounds in Scheme 11 were deduced from mechanistic considerations. The absolute and relative configurations of the compounds in Scheme 12 were tentatively determined by considering the results shown in Scheme 11.

Table 1. Desymmetrization of meso-1,4-diols 5 to form the optically active derivatives 18.

[a] Determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H or Chiralcel OD-H).

Scheme 11. Desymmetrization of meso-1,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-cyclopropane (5e). 1) p-TsOH; 2) NBS, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂; 3) separation by $SiO₂$ column, then p -TsOH; 4) TBDPSCl, imidazole; 5) Zn, Zn(OTf)₂, DMA.

Scheme 12. Desymmetrization of meso-4,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-cyclohexane (5 f). 1) p-TsOH; 2) NBS, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂; 3) separation by $SiO₂$ column, then p -TsOH; 4) TBDPSCl, imidazole; 5) Zn, Zn(OTf)₂, DMA.

Desymmetrization of meso-1,3-diols and meso-1,2-diols: Since $(-)$ -7a showed a high ability in the desymmetrization of meso-1,4-diols, we next applied it to the desymmetrization of meso-1,3-diols. We chose three 1,3-diols as the substrates, meso-2,4-pentanediol (20 a), meso-1,8-nonadiene-4,6 diol $(20 b)$, and $meso-2,6$ -dimethyl-3,5-heptanediol $(20 c)$. Acetalization of these diols with $(-)$ -7a afforded the cisacetals 21 a–c, respectively, in good yields (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13. Desymmetrization of meso-1,3-diols $20a-c$. 1) p-TsOH, toluene; 2) NBS, MeOH, then p -TsOH, acetone/H₂O; 3) TBDPSCl, imidazole; 4) Zn, $Zn(OTf)_2$, DMA.

Their stereochemistries were determined to be cis by NOE experiments. Intramolecular bromoetherification of 21 a–c in the presence of MeOH followed by acid hydrolysis gave the hydroxy aldehydes 22 a–c in a highly diastereoselective manner. The stereochemistries of compounds 22a–c were deduced from the results of the desymmetrization of the meso-1,4-diols in the preceding section and from our previous results.[5] Protection of the hydroxy function of 22 a–c afforded the silyl ethers 23a–c. Retrobromoetherification of 23a–c with zinc in the presence of $Zn(OTf)$ in DMA gave the optically active diol derivatives $24a-c$ with the regenerated norbornene aldehyde $(-)$ -7a. The optical purities of 24a–c were determined to be very high $(>99\% \text{ } ee)$ by chiral HPLC analysis (Scheme 13).

As the good desymmetrization of the *meso*-1,4- and *meso*-1,3-diols has been achieved by using the 3-endo-phenyl norbornene aldehyde derivative $(-)$ -7a, desymmetrization of the meso-1,2-diols was then studied. It was postulated that the possibility of $(-)$ -7a working as a chiral auxiliary was low. Although exo isomers 1a and 1b needed a mixed-acetal structure for good retrobromoetherification, such structures from $(-)$ -7a did not give a good retrobromoetherification for the meso-1,4- and meso-1,3-diols. To our disappointment, $(-)$ -7**a** was only applicable for the acyclic diols, that is, meso-2,3-butanediol 25 (see Scheme 14). For the cyclic meso-1,2-diols, such as meso-1,2-cyclohexanediol, acetalization with $(-)$ -7 a under the usual conditions gave the desired products in low yields $(<20\%)$ together with unreacted $(-)$ -7a. Acetalization at a higher temperature ($\approx 50^{\circ}$ C) afforded a mixture of stereoisomers and the aldehyde $(-)$ -7a decomposed. The low reactivity of $(-)$ -7a towards cyclic meso-1,2-diols might be due to the steric hindrance of the 3 endo-phenyl substituent.

The results with 25 are summarized in Scheme 14. Acetalization proceeded stereoselectively to give the cis-acetal 26 in high yield. The stereochemistry was determined by an NOE experiment. Intramolecular bromoetherification of 26 followed by acid hydrolysis afforded the hydroxy aldehyde

Scheme 14. Desymmetrization of *meso*-2.3-butanediol 25, 1) p-TsOH, toluene, RT; 2) NBS, MeOH, then p -TsOH, acetone/H₂O; 3) TBDPSCl, imidazole; 4) Zn, $Zn(OTf)_{2}$, DMA.

27 in a highly diastereoselective manner. The stereochemistry of compound 27 was deduced from the results of the desymmetrization of the meso-1,4-diols described in the preceding section and from our previous results.[5] Protection of the alcohol moiety as a silyl ether gave 28. To our surprise, the retrobromoetherification reaction for 28 proceeded smoothly to give the optically active diol derivative 29 and $(-)$ -7a. This result is in contrast to those obtained with 1a and 1b.

Consideration of retrobromoetherification: For the meso-1,4-diols and meso-1,3-diols, chelation structures such as v and vi (Scheme 15) do not cause any steric repulsion between the two side chains (see the reaction of 17a to form 18a in Scheme 9 and Table 1 for v and the reaction of 23a to form 24a in Scheme 13 for vi). On the other hand, the chelation structure of the acyclic meso-1,2-diol vii may cause steric repulsion between the two side chains and seems to be unfavorable. In fact, the acyclic meso-1,2-diol did not give the debromoetherificated product through acetal compounds like viii, possibly due to no formation of the chelation structure viiib, in our previous report,^[5c] whereas mixed acetals gave the debromoetherificated products in good yields by the formation of the chelation structure ix, irrespective of the system being cyclic or acyclic (see Scheme 1).^[5]

The results obtained here, with good retrobromoetherification even in 28 from the acyclic meso-1,2-diol 25 in Scheme 14, is completely different from our previous observations in ref. [5c]. A significant difference between vii and viii is that viii has an acetal in place of the aldehyde in vii. Also, viii might be present as viiia rather than viiib because

of the steric repulsion of the acetal unit. Compound vii has a 3-endo substituent while viii has a 3-exo substituent. To recognize the actual factor required for the good retrobromoetherification of vii, we examined the reaction of aldehyde 30, which is obtained from the 3-exo-phenyl norbornene aldehyde 1b (see Scheme 1) and acyclic *meso*-1,2-diol 25. The retrobromoetherification proceeded without a problem and afforded diol derivative 29 and aldehyde 1b in high yield. When the results shown in Schemes 14 and 16 and our previous work^[5c] are taken into account, steric hindrance of the acetal function in compounds like viii may inhibit the formation of the chelation structure viiib and make the retrobromoetherification difficult.

Scheme 16. Debromoetherification of 3-exo-phenyl compound 30.

Conclusion

A new auxiliary, the 3-endo-phenyl norbornene aldehyde derivative **7a**, was developed. It was found that this auxiliary is applicable for the desymmetrization of meso-1,3- and meso-1,4-diols. The methodology here is, to the best of our knowledge, the best chemical desymmetrization method available, especially for meso-1,4-diols. The significant advantage of our method is exemplified by our ability to obtain enantiopure diol derivatives of $5e$ and $5f$, which were not obtained by other chemical methods.^[4a] The auxiliary, 7 a, is a very stable (storage for one month at room temperature produces no decomposition) and easily handled crystal.[14] Furthermore, it is known that we can now choose the proper chiral auxiliary among the 3-exo- and 3-endo-norbornene aldehyde derivatives $1a$, $1b$, and $7a$ for the highly enantioselective desymmetrization of meso-1,2-, meso-1,3-, and meso-1,4-diols.

Experimental Section

All melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were measured on a 300 MHz spectrometer with CDCl₃ as the solvent and with SiMe_4 as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are denoted in δ (ppm). Infrared (IR) absorption spectra were recorded from KBr pellets. Optical rotations

Scheme 15. Consideration of chelation structures v –ix. M = Zn or Mg.

Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5386 – 5397 <www.chemeurj.org> \odot 2004 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH& Co. KGaA, Weinheim \sim 5391

were measured in 0.5 dm cells with a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. All solvents were dried and distilled according to standard procedures. ¹³C NMR spectra of the compounds that were also analyzed by high-resolution mass spectra can be found in the Supporting Information.

Methyl 3-*endo*-phenylnorbornene-2-carboxylate $((\pm)$ -8, $R = Ph)$: Cyclopentadiene (3.0 mL) and EtAlCl₂ $(44 \text{ mL}, 0.98 \text{ mol})$ in hexane) were added slowly to a stirred solution of *cis*-methyl cinnamate (7.05 g) , prepared according to the literature procedure,^[7] in CH₂Cl₂ (44 mL) at -78° C under N₂. The mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for an additional 12 h. Ice-water and saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ were added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (20:1) as the eluent to give (\pm) -8 $(R = Ph; 9.68 g, 40.0 mmol, 92\%, endo:exo = 17:1).$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.32–7.04 (m, 5H), 6.66–6.63 (m, 1H), 6.15–6.11 (m, 1H), $3.79-3.74$ (dd, $J=10.8$, 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (m, 1 H), $3.50-3.45$ (dd, $J=10.8$, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.02 (m, 1H), 1.54–1.49 ppm (m, 2H).

Methyl 2-exo-methyl-3-endo-phenylnorbornene-2-carboxylate $((\pm)$ -9, $R=Ph$: $nBuLi$ (0.68 mL) was added slowly to a stirred solution of diisopropylamine (146 mL) in THF (4.4 mL) at -78° C under N₂. After 30 min, a solution of (\pm) -8 (R=Ph; 198 mg) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise to the resulting mixture at -78° C. After 1 h, methyl iodide (0.27 mL) was added to the resulting mixture. The solution was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for 12 h. The completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. Ice-water was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with $Et₂O$. The organic layer was washed successively with ice-water, 10% aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$, and brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (20:1) as the eluent to give (\pm) -9 (R=Ph; 160 mg, 0.66 mmol, 76%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 7.25 - 7.02 \text{ (m, 5H)}$, 6.72–6.69 (m, 1H), 6.19–6.16 $(m, 1H)$, 3.19–3.18 (d, $J=3.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 2.92–2.88 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.58–1.52 ppm (m, 1H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 176.1, 142.6, 140.0, 133.4, 128.4, 127.6, 126.1, 60.1,$ 58.4, 52.2, 50.8, 50.0, 47.9, 28.6 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1728 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI): m/z: 242 [M^+]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂: 242.1307; found: 242.1309.

2-exo-Methyl-3-endo-phenylnorbornene-2-methanol $((\pm)$ -10, R = Ph): A solution of (\pm) -9 (R=Ph; 87 mg) in THF (1.0 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (20.4 mg) in THF (2.6 mL) at 0° C under N_2 . The mixture was allowed to warm to RT and stirred for 1 h. Excess reagent was quenched by careful addition of water and 1n aqueous NaOH at 0°C. The precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (5:1) as the eluent to give (\pm) -10 (R= Ph; 74.3 mg, 0.35 mmol, 97%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26–7.16 (m, 5H), 6.51–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.35–6.32 (m, 1H), 3.11–2.98 (m, 4H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 1.83–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 0.70 ppm (brs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.4, 137.1, 134.9, 128.3, 128.1, 126.2, 68.9, 56.3, 51.8, 49.3, 49.0, 48.9, 26.5 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3362 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 237 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₁₅H₁₈NaO: 237.1255 [M⁺+Na]; found: 237.1261.

2-exo-Methyl-3-endo-phenylnorbornene-2-carbaldehyde ((- $((\pm)$ -7a): DMSO $(115 \mu L)$ was added carefully to a stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (70 µL) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) at -78 °C under N₂. After 30 min, a solution of (\pm) -10 (R=Ph; 43.0 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) was added dropwise to the resulting mixture at -78° C. After 1 h, Et₃N (335 μ L) was added to the resulting solution. The solution was allowed to warm to 0° C. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (20:1) as the eluent to give (\pm) -7a (40.3 mg, 0.19 mmol, 95%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.99$ (s, 1H,), 7.24–7.11 (m, 5H), 3.42–3.41 (m, 1H), 3.29–3.28 (m, 1H), 2.73 (br s, 1H), 1.90–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.51 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 208.0, 139.8, 137.0, 135.4, 128.5, 127.8, 126.5, 58.5, 57.6, 52.9, 49.0, 48.1, 23.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1715 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI): m/z : 212 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}O: 212.1201$; found: 212.1218; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{15}H_{16}O$: C 84.87, H 7.60; found: C 84.72, H 7.65.

Norbornene diphenyldioxolanes 12 and 13: A catalytic amount of p-TsOH (0.1 equiv) was added to a solution of (\pm) -7a (54 mg) and (R,R) hydrobenzoin (65.4 mg) in toluene (2.6 mL) under N_2 and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at RT. After completion of the reaction (TLC check), the solution was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (20:1) as the eluent to give a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of 12 and 13 (89.7 mg, 86%). White powder; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.36 - 7.05$ (m, 14H), 6.62–6.35 (m, 3H), 4.88 and 4.79 (both s, total 1H), 4.64–4.61/4.50– 4.47 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, total 1H), 4.36-4.33/4.26-4.24 (d, $J=7.8$ Hz, total 1H), 3.26–3.24 (m, 1H), 3.13 (m, 1H), 2.84 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.64–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.63/1.60 ppm (both s, total 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.6, 141.3, 139.9, 139.6, 138.0, 137.6, 137.1, 137.0, 135.3, 135.2, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.4, 127.2, 127.1, 126.30, 126.27, 125.9, 125.8, 124.9, 107.9, 107.4, 86.6, 85.8, 85.1, 84.6, 56.9, 56.5, 53.5, 53.3, 50.7, 50.6, 49.6, 49.1, 49.0, 48.8, 22.6, 22.2 ppm; MS (EI): m/z : 408 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₉H₂₈O₂: 408.2089; found: 408.2111; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{29}H_{28}O_2$: C 85.26, H 6.91; found: C 85.22, H 6.98.

(1R,2S,3S,4S)-2-exo-Methyl-3-endo-phenylnorbornene-2-carbaldehyde

 $((-)-7a)$: NBS (2.09 g, 0.5 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of 12 and 13 (9.50 g) in CH₃CN containing 1% H₂O (23.5 mL) at RT and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at the same temperature. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (50:1 \rightarrow 4:1) as an eluent to give 14 $(4.28 \text{ g}, 8.46 \text{ mmol}, 36\%)$ and **13** $(4.75 \text{ g}, 11.8 \text{ mmol}, 50\%).$ **13**: $[a]_D^{24} =$ -102.9 (c=1.04, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =7.39–7.25 (m, 10H), 7.15–7.04 (m, 3H), 6.58–6.56 (m, 1H), 6.38–6.35 (m, 2H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.64–4.61 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.36–4.33 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.26– 3.25 (d, $J=3.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.12 (brs, 1H), 2.83 (brs, 1H), 1.90–1.87 (d, $J=$ 8.7 Hz, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.61–1.58 ppm (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 141.6, 139.9, 138.0, 137.1, 135.2, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4,$ 128.0, 127.8, 127.2, 127.1, 125.9, 124.9, 107.4, 85.8, 85.1, 56.9, 53.3, 50.6, 49.6, 49.1, 22.2 ppm; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{29}H_{28}O_2$: C 85.26, H 6.91; found: C 85.22, H 6.98.

After a solution of 14 (4.28 g, 8.46 mmol) and $Zn(OTf)$, (18.5 g, 50.8 mmol) in DMA (85 mL) had been stirred for 30 min at 40^oC under N_2 , Zn powder (11.1 g) was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was stirred for 5 h at 70 °C. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), $Et₂O$ was added to the reaction mixture and the precipitated salt and zinc were filtered out. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (10:1) as the eluent to give $(-)$ -7a (1.5 g, 7.19 mmol, 85%). White crystals; m.p. 45–46°C; $\left[\alpha\right]_0^{24} = -102.9$ (c=1.04, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl); $\delta = 8.99$ (c 1 H) 724–711 (m 5 H) 3.42– ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.99 (s, 1H), 7.24–7.11 (m, 5H), 3.42– 3.41 (m, 1H), 3.29–3.28 (m, 1H), 2.73 (br s, 1H), 1.90–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.70– 1.66 (m, 1H), 1.51 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 208.0, 139.8, 137.0, 135.4, 128.5, 127.8, 126.5, 58.5, 57.6, 52.9, 49.0, 48.1, 23.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 1715$ cm⁻¹.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornene acetal 11 a: A catalytic amount of p -TsOH (0.1 equiv) was added to a solution of $(-)$ -7a (320 mg) and 5 a (256 mg, 1.1 equiv) in toluene (15 mL) under N_2 and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), the solution was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (50:1) as the eluent to give $11a$ (510 mg, 1.46 mmol, 97%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26–7.11 (m, 5H), 6.51–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.24–6.21 $(m, 1H)$, 6.02–5.99 $(m, 1H)$, 5.89–5.86 $(m, 1H)$, 3.99–3.93 (dd, $J=12.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 1H), 2.98 (s, 1H), 2.95–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.88–2.84 (d, $J=12.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.61 (brs, 2H), 2.56–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.45 (brs, 1H), 2.42– 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.10–2.06 (d, $J=12.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.74–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.35 (m, 3H), 1.35 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.5, 137.3, 135.2, 134.93, 134.91, 128.8, 127.2, 125.5, 112.4, 73.0, 71.9, 57.7, 53.0, 52.4, 51.3, 49.2, 48.9, 45.4, 45.3, 45.2, 44.9, 22.5 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2963$, 1105,

742 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 348 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₂₈O₂: 348.2089; found: 348.2119.

10-Membered mixed acetal 15 a: NBS (48.0 mg, 0.55 equiv) was added to a stirred solution of 11a (85.6 mg) in MeOH (2.4 mL) at -40° C under N₂ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (10:1) as the eluent to give 15 a $(115.2 \text{ mg}, 0.243 \text{ mmol}, 99\%)$. Colorless oil; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ =7.27–7.17 (m, 5H), 6.09–6.04 (m, 2H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.62 (m, 1H), 4.35–4.32 (m, 1H), 4.08–4.05 (d, $J=10.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.85–3.80 (dd, $J=12.6$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.57–3.40 (m, 2H), 2.94–2.92 (d, J=4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (m, 1H), 2.78 (s, 3H), 2.70 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.38 (m, 2H), 1.99 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.24 ppm (s, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 139.7, 136.2, 134.0, 130.4, 127.9, 126.1, 109.7, 93.0, 74.3, 73.9, 58.3, 55.8, 55.1, 54.5, 54.4, 50.3, 48.4, 47.9, 46.0, 45.9, 42.5, 33.8, 23.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2963$, 1117, 729 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{25}H_{31}O_3Br$: C 65.36, H 6.80; found: C 65.47, H 6.69.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornene alcohol 16 a : A catalytic amount of p -TsOH (0.1 equiv) was added to a solution of $15a$ (55.8 mg) in acetone/ H2O (4:1; 1 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred at RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), the solution was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $(5:2)$ as the eluent to give 16a (53.6 mg) , 0.120 mmol, 99%). Colorless oil; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3398, 1710 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.46 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.09 (m, 5H), 6.07– 5.99 (m, 2H), 4.18–4.10 (m, 2H), 3.41–3.34 (m, 3H), 3.18–3.13 (m, 2H), 3.07–3.02 (t, $J=9$ Hz, 1H), 2.82–2.76 (m, 2H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.29 $(m, 2H)$, 2.14–2.10 (d, J=11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.90 (d, J=11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.40–1.24 ppm (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.8, 136.3, 135.1, 134.9, 129.1, 127.3, 126.7, 91.9, 70.6, 63.1, 56.1, 55.8, 51.1, 50.8, 50.4, 49.5, 46.2, 46.1, 44.9, 41.3, 34.0, 25.6 ppm; MS (EI): m/z: 444 $[M^+]$; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₂₉O₃Br: 444.1300; found: 444.1297.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornene silylether 17 a: Imidazole (33.0 mg) and TBDPSCl $(64 \mu L)$ were added to a stirred solution of 16a (49.2 mg) in DMF (0.5 mL) at RT under N_2 and the resulting mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h at the same temperature. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), the solution was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH4Cl and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/ AcOEt (10:1) as the eluent to give 17 a (68.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, 99%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.40$ (s, 1H), 7.64–7.08 (m, 15H), 5.97–5.96 (m, 1H), 5.87–86 (m, 1H), 4.13–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.99–3.96 $(m, 1H)$, 3.52–3.46 (dd, $J=9.9$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.35 $(m, 1H)$, 3.20–3.14 (t, $J=9.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.08-3.05 (m, 2H), 2.91 (m, 1H), 2.88-2.81 (t, $J=9.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.72 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.21 (m, 3H), 2.08–2.04 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.39–1.19 (m, 2H), 1.04 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 204.6, 136.6, 135.60, 135.56, 135.3, 134.0, 129.49,$ 129.46, 129.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 91.9, 70.5, 63.8, 56.3, 56.1, 51.2, 51.0, 50.9, 48.9, 45.4, 45.3, 44.2, 41.3, 34.0, 26.9, 25.5, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 1712 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 705 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{40}H_{47}BrNaO_3Si: 705.2576 [M^+ +Na];$ found: 705.2371.

Norbornene silylether 18a: A solution of 17a (82 mg) and $Zn(OTF)$ ₂ (262 mg) in DMA (0.37 mL) was stirred at 40° C under N₂. After 30 min, Zn powder (156 mg) was added to the mixture and stirring was continued at 70° C for 5 h. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), $Et₂O$ was added to the reaction mixture and the precipitated salt and zinc were filtered out. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by SiO₂ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (4:1) as the eluent to give 18a (46.6 mg, 0.118 mmol, 99%) and $(-)$ -7a $(25.4 \text{ mg}, 0.12 \text{ mmol}, 100\%)$. **18a**: Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +11.03$ ($c = 0.67$, CHCl₃); the optical purity of 18a (98% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/iPrOH (150:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 264 nm wavelength; retention times: 33.73 min and 37.24 min for (\pm)-**18a** and 37.91 min for (+)-**18a**); **7a**: $[a]_D^{26} = -101.9$ ($c = 0.68$, CHCl₃);

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.48–7.38 (m, 6H), 6.04–6.02 (m, 1H), 5.88–5.85 (m, 1H), 3.65 (br s, 1H), 3.60–3.46 (m, 4H), 2.82 (br s, 1H), 2.64 (br s, 1H), 2.62–2.49 (m, 2H), 1.59 (s, 1H), 1.36–1.35 (m, 1H), 1.04 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.6, 135.5, 135.1, 134.5, 129.9, 129.8, 127.80, 127.78, 65.2, 63.5, 49.8, 46.5, 46.3, 45.6, 44.8, 26.8, 19.1 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3385$ cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 393 [M⁺ +H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_2H_{33}O_2Si$: 393.2250 [M^+ +H]; found: 393.2244.

 $(2R,3S)$ -Lactone 19: DMSO (0.39 mL) was added carefully to a stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (0.24 mL) in CH₂Cl₂ (7.0 mL) at -78° C under N₂. After 30 min, a solution of 18a (272 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added dropwise to the resulting mixture at -78° C. After 1 h, Et₃N (1.16 mL) was added to the resulting solution. The solution was allowed to warm to 0° C. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/ AcOEt (15:1) as the eluent to give the aldehyde (268 mg, 0.686 mmol, 99%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.40-9.39$ (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.60 (m, 4H), 7.40–7.38 (m, 6H), 6.26–6.25 (m, 1H), 6.04–6.03 (m, 1H), 3.61–3.43 (m, 2H), 3.08–2.80 (m, 4H), 1.53–1.51 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.39–1.36 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.03 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.7, 135.53, 135.47, 135.1, 133.55, 133.47, 129.7, 127.7, 64.3, 54.9, 49.6, 48.0, 46.2, 45.0, 26.8, 19.2; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1715$ cm⁻¹.

TBAF (0.36 mL, 0.1m in THF) was added to a solution of the aldehyde (141 mg) in THF (3.6 mL) at RT under N_2 , and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), water was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with $Et₂O$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was used in the next reaction without purification. A mixture of the crude product and PCC (85.4 mg) in CH_2Cl_2 (3.6 mL) was stirred for 3 h at RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), $Et₂O$ was added to the resulting mixture. The precipitate was removed through a short pad of Florisil. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (2:3) as the eluent to give 19 (25.8 mg, 0.172 mmol, 48% over two steps). Colorless crystals; m.p. 104–105°C; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -142.2$ (c=0.99, CHCl₃); literature value for $(2S,3R)$ -lactone:^[12] $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = +143.2 $(c=5.2, \text{ CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.30$ (brs, 2H), 4.29 (dd, $J = 9.5$, 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (dd, $J =$ 9.5, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.37–3.00 (m, 4H), 1.64 (br d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.45 ppm (br d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 136.9$, 134.4, 70.3, 51.8, 47.6, 46.1, 45.7, 40.3 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1759$ cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 150 $[M^+]$; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₉H₁₀O₂: 150.0681; found: 150.067.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornane acetal 11b: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 11b $(134.0 \text{ mg}, 98\%)$ was obtained from 5b (67.2 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (83 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:50). White solid; m.p. 97°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.21 - 7.10$ (m, 5H), 6.55–6.53 (m, 1H), 6.31–6.28 (m, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 3.88–3.82 (dd, $J=12.3, 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.48–3.40 (t, $J=12$ Hz, 1H), 3.01 (m, 2H), 2.85–2.79 (dd, J=12.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.69–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.04 (m, 2H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.73 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 1.51– 1.49 (d, $J=8.6$ Hz, 1H), 1.44–1.15 (m, 5H), 1.35 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): d=142.5, 137.4, 135.3, 128.9, 127.3, 125.5, 112.1, 71.0, 69.7, 57.8, 53.0, 52.4, 49.2, 49.0, 43.7, 43.4, 41.6, 39.6, 39.3, 23.0, 22.6, 22.5 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2957, 1107, 739$ cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 350 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{24}H_{30}O_2$: 350.2246; found: 350.2267.

Norbornene aldehyde bicyclooctene acetal 11c: By use of the same procedure as for $11a$, $11c$ (77.1 mg, 88%) was obtained from $5c$ (44.6 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (51.2 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:50). White crystals; m.p. 104–105°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.21-$ 7.09 (m, 5H), 6.50–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.25–6.22 (m, 1H), 6.07–5.94 (m, 2H), 3.81–3.75 (dd, J=12.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (s, 1H), 3.51–3.07 (t, J=12 Hz, 1H), 2.99–2.98 (m, 2H), 2.81–2.75 (dd, J=12.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.04 (m, 5H), 1.74–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.49–1.09 ppm $(m, 5H);$ ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.4, 137.3, 135.2, 133.0, 132.8, 128.8, 127.3, 125.5, 111.2, 74.2, 73.3, 57.8, 53.1, 52.1, 49.2, 48.9, 45.3, 44.9, 33.1, 32.5, 25.2, 24.9, 22.5 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2936$, 1111, 746 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 362 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₅H₃₀O₂: 362.2246; found: 362.2259.

Norbornene aldehyde oxohexene acetal 11d: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 11d (106.0 mg, 96%) was obtained from 5d (54.2 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (67.2 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:8). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.23–7.12 (m, 5H), 6.53– 6.52 (m, 1H), 6.31–6.26 (m, 3H), 4.41 (s, 1H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 4.19–4.08 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 1H), 3.43–3.34 (t, $J=12.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.14–3.08 (dd, $J=12.9$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (m, 2H), 2.65–2.57 (m, 2H), 2.02–1.96 (m, 1H), 1.92– 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.73 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.52–1.49 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.33 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.4, 137.1, 135.7, 135.4, 128.8, 127.4, 125.6, 112.4, 80.6, 80.2, 72.5, 71.3, 60.4, 57.8, 53.0, 52.3, 49.2, 49.0, 43.2, 43.1, 22.3 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2970$, 1047, 739 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 373 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₃H₂₆NaO₃: 373.1780 $[M^+ + Na]$; found: 373.1776.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclopropane acetal 11 e: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 11e (107.9 mg, 74%) and 11e' (33.1 mg, 23%) were obtained from $5e(100 \text{ mg})$ and $(-)$ -7a (104 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:30). **11e**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26– 7.10 (m, 5H), 6.53 (dd, $J=5.6$, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, $J=5.6$, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (dd, J=12.6, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, J=11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J= 12.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 3.00 (s, 1H), 3.00 (d, $J=7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.61 (brs, 1H), 2.31 (d, $J=13.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.73 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.50 (dt, $J=$ 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 0.85–0.78 (m, 1H), 0.70–0.60 (m, 2H), 0.30–0.20 ppm (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.2, 137.0, 135.5, 129.0, 127.4, 125.6, 111.2, 68.0, 66.5, 57.5, 53.3, 52.8, 49.1, 49.0, 22.1, 16.7, 16.4, -0.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2968$, 1083, 733 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 296 $[M^+]$; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₄O₂: 296.1776 [M⁺]; found: 296.1769; 11 e': ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.26–7.05 (m, 5 H), 6.50 $(dd, J=5.6, 2.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}$), 6.23 (dd, $J=5.6, 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}$), 4.48 (dd, $J=13.2$, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J=13.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (s, 1H), 2.99 (br s, 1H), 2.87 (dd, $J=13.2$, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (br s, 1H), 2.01 (dd, $J=13.5, 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.75 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.49 (dt, $J=10.0, 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.40–1.15 (m, 2H), 0.82–0.75 (m, 1H), 0.37–0.32 ppm $(m, 1H);$ ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.5, 137.2, 135.3, 128.8, 127.3, 125.5, 114.5, 74.8, 73.4, 57.8, 53.0, 52.6, 49.1, 49.0, 22.7, 18.3, 17.9, 16.5 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2966, 1092, 741 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclohexene acetal 11 f: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 11f and 11f' (65.6 mg, 76%, 60% de) were obtained from 5 f (72.8 mg) and (-)-7a (54.4 mg) as an inseparable diastereomixture. Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 7.26 - 7.12 \text{ (m, 5H)}$, 6.57–6.55 (m, 1H), 6.32–6.29 (m, 1H), 6.55–6.51 (m, 2H), 3.99 (s, 1H), 3.80–3.73 (dd, J=11.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.56–3.50 (dd, J=12.2, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.01–2.90 (m, 3H), 2.62 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.49 (m, 9H), 1.38 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.4, 137.2, 135.4, 129.1, 127.5, 125.9, 125.6, 125.5, 104.4, 70.9, 70.1, 57.3, 53.4, 53.1, 49.3, 49.1, 38.3, 37.2, 27.4, 27.0, 22.0 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 2964, 1134, 741 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 336 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $\rm C_{23}H_{28}O_2$: 336.2089 [$\it M^+$]; found: 336.2084.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornane alcohol $16b$: NBS $(61.0 \text{ ms}, 1.1 \text{ equiv})$ was added to a stirred solution of $11b(109.2 \text{ mg})$ in MeOH (3.2 mL) at -40° C under N₂ and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ was added to the mixture and the resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. A catalytic amount of p-TsOH (0.1 equiv) was added to a solution of the crude $15b$ in acetone/H₂O (4:1; 1 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred at RT. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), the solution was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $(3:1)$ as the eluent to give **16b** $(64.1 \text{ mg}, 0.143 \text{ mmol})$, 92% over 2 steps). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.43 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.09 (m, 5H), 4.19–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.78–3.76 (m, 1H), 3.49–3.40 (m, 3H), 3.29–3.25 (m, 1H), 3.15 (m, 1H), 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.22–1.91 (m, 7H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.35-1.19 ppm (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.7, 136.3, 129.1, 127.3, 126.7, 91.9, 69.2, 61.3, 56.1, 55.8, 51.1, 50.7, 50.4, 43.1, 40.4, 40.2, 39.7, 39.5, 34.0, 25.6, 22.8, 22.4 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 3395, 1711 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 447 [M^+ +H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{24}H_{32}BrO_3$: 447.1535 $[M^+ + H]$; found: 447.1544.

Norbornene aldehyde bicyclooctene alcohol 16c: By use of the same procedure as for $16b$, $16c$ (84.2 mg, 95%) was obtained from $11c$ (70.0 mg) and NBS (37.8 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:3). White crystals; m.p. 107–108 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.45$ (s, 1H), 7.37–7.09 (m, 5H), 6.17–6.09 (m, 2H), 4.17–4.10 (m, 2H), 3.52–3.06 (m, 6H), 2.48–2.41 (m, 3H), 2.14–1.89 (m, 4H), 1.59–1.47 (m, 5H), 1.51 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.7, 136.4, 133.21, 133.18, 129.1, 127.3, 126.7, 91.9, 71.7, 64.2, 56.1, 55.9, 51.1, 50.8, 50.5, 44.9, 41.7, 34.0, 33.5, 33.4, 25.6, 25.5, 25.0 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3371$, 1711 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 481 $[M^+ + Na]$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{31}BrNaO_3$: 481.1354 $[M^+ +Na]$; found: 481.1358.

Norbornene aldehyde oxohexene alcohol 16 d: By use of the same procedure as for 16b, 16d (51.7 mg, 95%) was obtained from 11d (41.1 mg) and NBS (23.0 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:1). White solid; m.p. 119–120 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.44$ (s, 1H), 7.38–7.10 (m, 5H), 6.31 (s, 2H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.62–3.58 (m, 1H), 3.50–3.41 (m, 3H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 2.49 (s, 1H), 2.16–2.13 (d, $J=11.1$ Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.91 (d, $J=$ 11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.79–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.52 ppm (s, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.7, 136.4, 135.8, 135.4, 129.1, 127.3, 126.7, 92.1, 80.9, 80.7, 70.2, 62.3, 56.10, 56.07, 51.2, 50.8, 50.5, 42.1, 39.9, 34.0, 25.6 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3411, 1711 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{28}BrNaO_4$: 447.1113 [M+H⁺]; found: 447.1142.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclopropane alcohol 16 e: By use of the same procedure as for 16b, 15e and 15e' (99%) were obtained from 11e and 11e' (132 mg) and NBS (87 mg). $15e$ (138 mg, 76%) was obtained by separation with $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt (20:1) as the eluent. **16e** (133 mg, 100%) was obtained from **15e**. Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.46 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.11 (m, 5H), 5.58– 5.57 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.46–3.41 (m, 3H), 3.32–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.14 (m, 2H), 2.76 (br s, 1H), 2.62–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.16–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.66 (m, 7H), 1.52 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 206.1, 136.4, 129.1, 127.3, 126.8, 125.63, 125.56, 91.8, 69.8, 63.1, 56.6, 56.0, 51.2, 50.9, 50.7, 37.3, 34.0, 33.3, 27.2, 25.9, 25.7 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3402$, 1709 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 455 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{29}BrNaO_3$: 455.1197 $[M^+ +Na]$; found: 455.1189.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclohexene alcohol 16 f: By use of the same procedure as for $16b$, $15f$ and $15f'$ (98%) were obtained from $11f$ and $11f'$ (96.4 mg) and NBS (56.0 mg) . **15 f** $(99.6 \text{ mg}, 78\%)$ was obtained by separation with $SiO₂$ flash column chromatography with hexane/AcOEt $(20:1)$ as the eluent. **16 f** (96.4 mg, 0.224 mmol, 100%) was obtained from **15 f.** Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.46$ (s, 1H), 7.39– 7.11 (m, 5H), 5.58–5.57 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.46–3.41 (m, 3H), 3.32–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.14 (m, 2H), 2.76 (br s, 1H), 2.62–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.16–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.02–1.66 (m, 7H), 1.52 ppm (s, 3H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 206.1, 136.4, 129.1, 127.3, 126.8, 125.63, 125.56,$ 91.8, 69.8, 63.1, 56.6, 56.0, 51.2, 50.9, 50.7, 37.3, 34.0, 33.3, 27.2, 25.9, 25.7; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3402$, 1709 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 455 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{23}H_{29}BrNaO_3$: 455.1197 $[M^+ +Na]$; found: 455.1189.

Norbornene aldehyde norbornane silylether 17b: By use of the same procedure as for $17a$, $17b$ (84.6 mg, 94%) was obtained from $16b$ (58.4 mg), TBDPSCl $(74 \mu L)$, imidazole (39.2 mg) , and DMF (0.8 mL) . Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:10). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 9.35 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.64-7.07 \text{ (m, 15H)}, 4.13-4.01 \text{ (m,$ 2H), 3.75–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.56–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.34–3.31 (m, 3H), 3.22–3.16 (m, 1H), 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.35–2.28 (m, 2H), 2.17–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.87–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.27–1.19 (m, 7H), 1.02 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 204.6, 136.6, 135.62, 135.58, 134.1, 129.5, 129.0,$ 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 126.6, 91.7, 68.5, 61.7, 56.3, 56.1, 51.2, 51.0, 50.8, 42.7, 39.7, 39.6, 39.5, 39.4, 34.0, 26.9, 25.5, 22.3, 22.1, 19.2 ppm; MS (FAB): m/z : 707 [M⁺+Na]; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1713$ cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₄₀H₄₉BrNaO₃Si: 707.2532 [M⁺+Na]; found: 707.2542.

Norbornene aldehyde bicyclooctene silylether 17c: By use of the same procedure as for $17a$, $17c$ (108.2 mg, 98%) was obtained from $16c$ (79.2 mg), TBDPSCl (98 μ L), imidazole (51.6 mg), and DMF (1.2 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:10). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.39 (s, 1H), 7.64–7.08 (m, 15 H), 6.11– 5.96 (m, 2H), 4.12–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.99–3.96 (m, 1H), 3.54–3.49 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.34 (m, 1H), 3.28–3.16 (m, 2H), 3.10 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.86 (t, $J=$ 9 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (m, 1H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.07–1.97 (m, 3H), 1.86–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.43–1.02 (m, 4H), 1.03 ppm (s, 9H);

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.6, 136.6, 135.60, 135.56, 134.01, 133.99, 133.3, 133.2, 129.5, 129.0, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 91.9, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 70.7, 64.2, 56.3, 56.2, 51.2, 51.0, 50.9, 44.2, 41.5, 34.0, 32.3, 26.9, 25.45, 25.38, 25.0, 19.2; MS (FAB): m/z : 719 $[M^+ + Na]$; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 1713 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₄₁H₄₉BrNaO₃Si: 719.2732 $[M^+ + \text{Na}]$; found: 719.2496.

Norbornene aldehyde oxohexene silylether 17 d: By use of the same procedure as for $17a$, $17d$ $(102.2 \text{ mg}, 94\%)$ was obtained from $16d$ (73.4 mg), TBDPSCl (90 μ L), imidazole (47.4 mg), and DMF (1.2 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:6). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.38$ (s, 1H), 7.65–7.08 (m, 15H), 6.28–6.25 (m, 2H), 4.85 (s, 1H,), 4.59 (s, 1H), 4.11–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.75–3.70 (dd, J=9.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.61–3.55 (t, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.43–3.36 (m, 2H), 3.23–3.17 (t, J=9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.11–3.10 (m, 1H), 2.37–2.36 (m, 1H), 2.09–2.06 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.75 (m, 1H), 1.71–1.66 (m, 1H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.04 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.6, 136.6, 135.55, 135.52, 135.48, 135.4, 133.7, 133.6, 129.6, 129.0, 127.69, 127.67, 127.4, 126.6, 92.0, 80.5, 80.2, 69.9, 63.2, 56.2, 56.1, 51.3, 50.8, 50.7, 42.4, 39.7, 34.0, 26.9, 25.4, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1713$ cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 691 $[M^+ +Li]$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₃₉H₄₅BrLiO₄Si: 691.2430 $[M^+ +Li]$; found: 691.2427.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclopropane silylether 17 e: By use of the same procedure as for 17a, 17e (60.1 mg, 95%) was obtained from 16e (39.2 mg), TBDPSCl (57 μ L), imidazole (29.9 mg), and DMF (0.5 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.43 (s, 1H), 7.67–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.43– 7.24 (m, 9H), 7.12–7.10 (m, 2H), 4.22–4.08 (m, 2H), 3.74–3.68 (m, 1H), $3.54-3.48$ (m, 2H), $3.38-3.39$ (m, 1H), $3.14-3.08$ (m, 2H), 2.38 (brs, 1H), 2.11 (d, J=11.1 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (m, 1H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.29–0.97 (m, 2H), 1.03 (s, 9H), 0.67–0.14 (m, 1H), 0.10–0.08 ppm (m, 1H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 204.6, 136.6, 135.6, 134.0, 133.9, 129.5, 129.0, 127.6,$ 127.5, 127.4, 126.6, 91.1, 70.0, 63.9, 56.4, 56.1, 51.4, 51.2, 50.8, 34.0, 26.9, 25.6, 19.2, 17.6, 15.0, 14.2, 8.7 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1713 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 653 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₃₆H₄₃O₃BrSiNa: 653.2028 [M^+ +Na]; found: 653.2045.

Norbornene aldehyde cyclohexene silylether 17 f: By use of the same procedure as for $17a$, $17f$ (63.2 mg, 98%) was obtained from $16f$ (41.6 mg), TBDPSCl (54 μ L), imidazole (28.8 mg), and DMF (1.0 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.34$ (s, 1H), 7.66–7.62 (m, 4H), 7.41– 7.23 (m, 9H), 7.11–7.09 (m, 2H), 5.51 (m, 2H), 4.16–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.62– 3.48 (m, 2H), 3.36–3.35 (m, 1H), 3.33–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.11 (m, 1H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.11–1.72 (m, 8H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.03 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 204.3, 136.6, 135.61, 135.59, 133.89, 133.85, 129.52,$ 129.47, 129.0, 127.60, 127.57, 127.4, 126.6, 125.5, 125.4, 91.8, 71.5, 64.5, 56.6, 56.1, 51.4, 51.0, 50.7, 37.1, 34.9, 33.9, 26.9, 26.70, 26.66, 25.5, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1713 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 693 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₃₉H₄₇BrNaO₃Si: 693.2576 [M⁺+Na]; found: 693.2362.

Norbornane silylether 18b: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, 18b $(69.0 \text{ mg}, 94\%)$ and **7a** $(36.5 \text{ mg}, 93\%)$ were obtained from **17b** (127.5 mg), $Zn(OTf)_{2}$ (405 mg), Zn (245 mg), and DMA (2.0 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:5). **18b**: Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} =$ -8.31 (c=1.28, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **18b** (98% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (99:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 262 nm wavelength; retention times: 19.11 min and 21.68 min for (\pm) -18b and 19.68 min for $(+)$ -18b); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.65 (m, 4H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 6H), 4.02–3.95 (m, 2H), 3.64–3.59 (m, 3H), 2.26 (m, 3H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.44–1.17 (m, 5H), 1.04 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.5, 135.4, 132.9, 132.8, 129.8, 129.7, 127.72, 127.67, 63.8, 61.8, 43.7, 42.9, 40.8, 40.7, 40.0, 26.8, 22.8, 22.7, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3404 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z 395 [M⁺+H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₅H₃₅O₂Si: 395.2406 $[M^+ + H]$; found: 395.2409.

Bicyclooctene silylether 18c: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, 18c (42.4 mg, 89%) and 7a (21.8 mg, 88%) were obtained from 17c (81.8 mg) , $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ (256 mg), Zn (154 mg), and DMA (1.0 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:5). **18c**: Colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{24}$ = +2.11 ($c=1.48$, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **18c** (98% *ee*) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (99:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹

flow rate, 262 nm wavelength; retention times: 22.20 min and 24.33 min for (\pm)-18c and 22.24 min for (+)-18c); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.47–7.23 (m, 6H), 6.15–5.95 (m, 2H), 3.74–3.64 (m, 3H), 3.48–3.43 (m, 2H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.28–2.25 (m, 3H), 1.62–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.17 (m, 2H), 1.04 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.6, 135.5, 134.8, 133.2, 133.0, 132.7, 129.85, 129.80, 127.77, 127.75, 66.4, 64.9, 45.8, 45.2, 34.1, 33.9, 26.8, 25.6, 25.2, 19.1 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 3406 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 407 [M⁺+H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{26}H_{35}O_2Si$: 407.2406 [M^+ +H]; found: 407.2428.

Oxohexene silylether 18d:^[15] By use of the same procedure as for **18a**, 18 d (67.0 mg, 86%) and 7 a (41 mg, 98%) were obtained from 17 d (135 mg) , $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ (430 mg), Zn (260 mg), and DMA (3.0 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:2). 18 d: Colorless solid; m.p. 84– 85 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -17.73$ (c=1.01, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **18d** (99%) ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (99:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 259 nm wavelength; retention times: 64.40 min and 70.43 min for (\pm) -18d and 69.33 min for $(+)$ -18d); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.65 (m, 4H), 7.47–7.37 (m, 6H), 6.39–6.32 (m, 2H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 1H), 3.91–3.85 (m, 2H), 3.79– 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.67–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.10–3.08 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.05 ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.8, 135.6, 135.52, 135.48, 132.9, 129.9, 127.8, 81.2, 80.8, 64.4, 62.5, 42.7, 42.5, 26.8, 19.1 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3422 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 395 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₁NaO₃Si: 395.2042 [M⁺+Na]; found: 395.2036.

Cyclopropane silylether 18e: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, 18e (33.0 mg, 92%) and 7a (18.8 mg, 94%) were obtained from 17e (63.2 mg) , $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})$ ₂ (206 mg), Zn (122.8 mg), and DMA (0.8 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:4). **18e**: Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27}$ = -12.31 (c = 1.14, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **18e** (99% *ee*) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (99:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 261 nm wavelength; retention times: 16.64 min and 18.81 min for (\pm) -18e and 19.69 min for $(+)$ -18e); ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.65 (m, 4H), 7.47–7.36 (m, 6H), 5.57–5.52 (m, 2H), 3.77-3.53 (m, 4H), 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.12-1.88 (m, 6H), 1.06 ppm (s, 9H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): d=135.52, 135.47, 133.0, 129.71, 129.68, 127.6, 125.5, 125.3, 65.3, 64.1, 37.9, 37.3, 27.3, 26.9, 26.6, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3344 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 381 [M⁺+H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₃O₂Si: 381.2250 [M⁺+H]; found: 381.2260.

Cyclohexene silylether 18 f :^[16] By use of the same procedure for 18 a, 18 f $(33.0 \text{ mg}, 92\%)$ and **7a** $(18.8 \text{ mg}, 94\%)$ were obtained from **17f** (63.2 mg) , $Zn(OTf)$ ₂ (206 mg), Zn (122.8 mg), and DMA (0.8 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:4). 18 f: Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{27}$ = -12.31 (c = 1.14, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **18 f** (99% *ee*) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (99:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 263 nm wavelength; retention times: 27.69 min and 33.20 min for (\pm) -18 f and 34.35 min for $(+)$ -18 f); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 7.69 - 7.65 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 7.47 - 7.36 \text{ (m, 6H)}, 5.57 - 5.52 \text{)}$ $(m, 2H), 3.77-3.53$ $(m, 4H), 2.76$ (brs, 1H), 2.12–1.88 $(m, 6H), 1.06$ ppm (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 135.52$, 135.47, 133.0, 129.71, 129.68, 127.6, 125.5, 125.3, 65.3, 64.1, 37.9, 37.3, 27.3, 26.9, 26.6, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3344 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (FAB): m/z : 381 [M⁺+H]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₃O₂Si: 381.2250 [M⁺+H]; found: 381.2260.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-dimethyl acetal 21 a: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 21a (257 mg, 94%) was obtained from 20a (106 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (194 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:50). White solid; m.p. 78–79 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.09 (m, 5H), 6.50–6.48 (m, 1H), 6.28–6.26 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 1H), 3.46–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.01 (brs, 2H), 2.67 (brs, 1H), 2.65–2.59 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.52–1.48 (m, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 1.21–1.16 (m, 1H), 1.13–1.11 (d, J= 6 Hz, 3H), 0.96–0.85 (m, 1H), 0.61–0.59 ppm (d, $J=6$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 142.4, 137.5, 134.9, 129.1, 127.0, 125.4, 103.7, 72.2,$ 71.7, 57.5, 52.5, 51.2, 49.1, 48.9, 40.4, 22.4, 21.9, 20.9 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 2970, 1022, 745 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 298 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{26}O_2$: 298.1933; found: 298.1950; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{20}H_{26}O_2$: C 80.50, H 8.78; found: C 80.20; H 8.80.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diallyl acetal 21b: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 21b (75.2 mg, 90%) was obtained from 20b (50.0 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (58.8 mg): Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:50). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.21 - 7.09$ (m, 5H), 6.46 (dd, $J=5.6$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd, $J=5.6$, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.91-5.77 (m,

FULL PAPER H. Fujioka, Y. Kita et al.

1H), 5.27–5.01 (m, 3H), 4.83–4.77 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 1H), 3.35–3.30 (m, 1H), 2.98 (br s, 2H), 2.70–2.59 (m, 2H), 2.30–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.70 (m, 3H), 1.48 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.20 (dt, J=12.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 0.99–0.91 ppm (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 142.3, 137.6, 134.8, 134.7, 134.6, 129.0, 127.3, 125.5, 116.5, 116.0, 103.4, 75.7, 74.9, 57.5, 52.5, 51.5, 49.3, 48.8, 40.4, 39.9, 35.9, 22.4 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2974$, 1342, 1020, 912, 742 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{24}H_{30}O_2$: C 82.24, H 8.63; found: C 82.11, H 8.72.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diisopropyl acetal 21 c: By use of the same procedure as for 11a, 21c (147 mg, 88%) was obtained from 20c (83 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (100 mg). Eluent for chromatography: hexane. Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.15–7.06 (m, 5H), 6.42–6.41 (m, 1H), 6.30–6.27 (m, 1H), 3.91 (s, 1H), 2.95–2.92 (s, 3H), 2.71 (br s, 1H), 2.38– 2.35 (m, 1H), 1.72 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.57-1.55 (m, 3H), 1.43 (d, $J=$ 8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (s, 1H), 1.27–1.12 (m, 3H), 0.95 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.84 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.46 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.31 ppm (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=142.6, 137.9, 134.7, 129.1, 127.5, 125.5, 102.9, 81.3, 80.1, 57.6, 52.9, 52.2, 49.8, 48.6, 33.2, 32.6, 31.2, 22.6, 18.4, 18.3, 17.9, 17.6 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2959, 1109, 746$ cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{24}H_{34}O_2$: C 81.31, H 9.67; found: C 81.36, H 9.71.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-dimethyl alcohol 22 a: By use of the same procedure as for $16a$, $22a$ (52.5 mg, 99%) was obtained by p-TsOH hydrolysis of the product from the reaction of $21a$ (40.0 mg), NBS (26.0 mg), and MeOH(1.5 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:3). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.39$ (s, 1H), 7.36–7.08 (m, 5H), 4.29–4.26 (m, 1H), 4.18–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.73–3.66 (q, J=6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.14 (d, $J=12$ Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.89 (d, $J=12$ Hz, 1H), 1.78 (br s, 1H), 1.72–1.64 (m, 1H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.42–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.15–1.13 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08– 1.06 ppm (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =205.1, 136.3, 128.9, 127.2, 126.6, 89.9, 77.5, 77.0, 76.5, 75.9, 66.0, 57.8, 56.3, 51.9, 51.4, 51.2, 45.6, 34.4, 25.5, 24.0, 20.1 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3404$, 1713 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}O_2Br$: 395.1221; found: 395.1218.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diallyl alcohol 22 b: By use of the same procedure as for $16a$, $22b$ (66.8 mg, 87%) was obtained by p-TsOH hydrolysis of the product from the reaction of $21b$ (61.2 mg), NBS (18.6 mg), and MeOH (1.74 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:3). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.37$ (s, 1H), 7.35–7.07 (m, 5H), 5.79–5.57 (m, 2H), 5.10–4.99 (m, 4H), 4.30 (t, J=3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.21– 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.71–3.63 (m, 2H), 3.37 (d, $J=3.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.14 (d, $J=$ 1.8 Hz, 1H), 2.33–1.87 (m, 8H), 1.65–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.49 ppm (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.9, 136.3, 134.4, 133.8, 128.9, 127.2, 126.6, 118.1, 117.7, 90.2, 78.7, 68.1, 57.3, 56.2, 51.9, 51.4, 51.2, 42.0, 40.3, 37.9, 34.1, 25.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3410$, 2974, 1713, 1084, 914 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₂O₃Br: 447.1535 [M⁺+H]; found: 447.1544.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diisopropyl alcohol 22 c: By use of the same procedure as for $16a$, $22c$ (77 mg, 70%) was obtained by p-TsOH hydrolysis of the product from the reaction of $21c$ (87 mg), NBS (49 mg), and MeOH (1.8 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:5). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.29$ (s, 1H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.04–7.01 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.16–4.15 (m, 1H), 3.40–3.39 $(m, 1H)$, 3.30 $(m, 1H)$, 3.09 $(s, 1H)$, 2.22 $(brs, 1H)$, 2.07 $(d, J=10.8 \text{ Hz})$, 1H), 1.84–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.54–1.35 (m, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.18–1.13 (m, 2H), 0.78 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.77 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.69 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.68 ppm (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.5, 136.4, 128.9, 127.2, 126.6, 91.8, 86.2, 74.9, 57.5, 56.4, 52.6, 51.7, 51.5, 35.1, 34.2, 33.6, 30.2, 25.3, 18.7, 17.5, 17.3, 16.7 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3500$, 1715 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₂₄H₃₆O₃Br: 451.1848 [M⁺+H]; found: 451.1853.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-dimethyl silylether 23 a: By use of the same procedure as for $17a$, $23a$ (104.0 mg, 100%) was obtained from $22a$ (65.0 mg), TBDPSCl (94 μ L), imidazole (50 mg), and DMF (0.8 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.29$ (s, 1H), 7.64–7.08 (m, 15H), 4.20– 4.08 (m, 2H), 3.80–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.52 (m, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.10 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.75 (m, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 1.04–1.01 (d, $J=6.3$ Hz, 3H), 0.83–0.81 ppm (d, $J=$ 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.1, 136.6, 135.8, 135.7, 134.6, 134.0, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 127.5, 127.3, 127.3, 126.5, 89.4, 73.9, 66.8, 57.5, 56.2, 51.9, 51.2, 51.2, 46.3, 34.2, 27.0, 25.3, 23.8, 19.5, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3439 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for C₃₆H₄₅O₃BrSiNa: 655.2219 $[M^+ + Na]$; found: 655.2224.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diallyl silylether 23b: By use of the same procedure as for $16a$, $23b$ $(120.2 \text{ mg}, 96\%)$ was obtained from $22b$ (81.3 mg), TBDPSCl $(140 \mu L)$, imidazole (75 mg) , and DMF (0.5 mL) . Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.22$ (s, 1H), 7.64–7.06 (m, 15 H), 5.70– 5.40 (m, 2H), 4.90–4.80 (m, 4H), 4.16 (t, J=3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.07–4.05 (m, 1H), 3.80–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.55–3.48 (m, 1H), 3.33 (d, J=3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (br s, 1H), 2.20–1.80 (m, 7H), 1.70–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 1.01 ppm $(s, 9H)$; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.7, 136.5, 135.8, 135.7, 134.3, 134.2, 133.9, 133.7, 129.5, 129.5, 128.9, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 126.6, 117.4, 117.1, 90.6, 78.1, 69.9, 57.5, 56.2, 52.1, 51.3, 51.3, 41.0, 40.2, 37.9, 34.2, 27.0, 25.2, 19.3 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2929, 1715, 1113, 912 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{40}H_{49}O_3BrSiNa$: 707.2532 $[M^+ + Na]$; found: 707.2562.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,3-diisopropyl silylether 23 c: By use of the same procedure for 16a, 23c (74 mg, 97%) was obtained from 22c (50 mg), TBDPSCl (0.13 mL), imidazole (68 mg), and DMF (0.5 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:30); white crystal. M.p. 93-94 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.07$ (s, 1H), 7.56–7.54 (m, 4H), 7.27– 7.00 (m, 11H), 4.00-3.96 (m, 2H), 3.55 (m, 1H), 3.23 (brs, 1H), 3.02 (br s, 1H), 2.02–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.47 (m, 1H), 1.36 $(s, 3H), 1.36-1.18$ (m, 4H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.84 (d, $J=7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.66 (d, $J=7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.46 (d, $J=7.5$ Hz, 3H), 0.35 ppm $(J=7.5$ Hz, 3H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 204.4, 136.5, 135.8, 135.7, 134.6, 134.3, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 127.3, 127.2, 126.5, 92.0, 84.9, 74.7, 57.5, 56.4, 52.7, 52.7, 51.6, 34.2, 34.2, 32.0, 29.9, 27.2, 25.1, 19.7, 18.7, 17.6, 16.6, 16.3 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1717, 1111 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{40}H_{53}O_3Br$ SiNa: 711.2845 [M^+ +Na]; found: 711.2816.

1,3-Dimethyl silylether 24a: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, 24a (61.2 mg, 86%) and 7a (37.8 mg, 86%) were obtained from 23a (132 mg), $Zn(OTf)$ ₂ (453 mg), Zn (273 mg), and DMA (2.0 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:4). **24a**: Colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{24} =$ -16.93 (c=1.33, CHCl₃); the optical purity of 24 a (99% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane/iPrOH (150:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 264 nm wavelength; retention times: 20.11 min and 22.21 min for (\pm) -24a and 20.31 min for $(+)$ -24a); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 7.75-7.70 \text{ (m, 4H)}$, 7.46-7.36 (m, 6H), 4.13-4.00 (m, 2H), 3.00 (br s, 1H), 1.74–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.04 (s, 9H), 1.15–1.12 (d, $J=6.2$ Hz, 3H), 0.99–0.97 ppm (d, $J=6.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.8, 135.8, 134.4, 133.5, 129.8, 129.6, 127.7, 127.5, 70.6, 67.0, 48.0, 26.9, 24.1, 23.6, 19.1 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3439 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{21}H_{30}O_2Si$: C 73.63, H 8.83; found: C 73.32, H 8.69.

1,3-Diallyl silylether 24b: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, 24b (53.3 mg, 92%) and $7a$ (29.3 mg, 94%) were obtained from $23b$ (101.0 mg) , $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ (321 mg), Zn (193 mg), and DMA (1.5 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:15). **24b**: Colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{24}$ = -24.0 (c=2.03, CHCl₃); the optical purity of **24b** (99% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane, 0.5 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate, 261 nm wavelength; retention times: 29.35 min and 32.33 min for (\pm) -24b and 29.31 min for $(+)$ -24b); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =7.75–7.66 (m, 4H), 7.50–7.30 (m, 6H), 5.79–5.53 (m, 2H), 5.10–4.78 (m, 4H), 4.01–3.78 (m, 2H), 2.50 (br s, 1H), 2.20–2.06 (m, 4H), 1.70–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.06 ppm (9H, s); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.9, 134.6, 134.1, 133.6, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 127.5, 117.7, 117.4, 72.7, 69.1, 42.4, 42.0, 41.8, 27.0, 19.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3460$, 2932, 1427, 1111, 914 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{25}H_{34}O_2Si$: C 76.09, H 8.68; found: C 75.93, H 8.72.

1,3-Diisopropyl silylether 24c: By use of the same procedure as for 18a, **24c** (99 mg, 99%) and (-)-7a (54 mg, 100%) were obtained from **23c** (174 mg) , $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ (552 mg), Zn (330 mg), and DMA (2.4 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:30 \rightarrow 1:15). Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ =+ 4.29 ($c=1.43$); the optical purity of 24c (99% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD-H, hexane, 0.5 mL min^{-1} flow rate, 261 nm wavelength; retention times: 25.24 min and 27.16 min for (\pm) -**24c** and 25.01 min for (+)-**24c**); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.65– 7.63 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.18 (m, 6H), 6.30–6.27 (m, 1H), 3.80–3.75 (m, 1H), 3.27 (m, 1H), 1.97–1.18 (m, 4H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.83 (d, 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.76

(d, 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.74 (d, 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.70 ppm (d, 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 136.0, 134.3, 134.2, 129.6, 129.6, 127.5, 127.5, 77.3,$ 74.6, 36.0, 33.5, 32.3, 27.1, 19.5, 18.4, 17.5, 17.1, 16.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 3500, 1471, 1111 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{25}H_{38}O_2Si$: C 75.47, H 9.80; found: C 75.32, H 9.61.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,2-dimethyl acetal 26: By use of the same procedure as for 11 a, 26 (65.6 mg, 84%) was obtained from 25 (50.0 mg) and $(-)$ -7a (58.8 mg). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:50). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.19–7.15 (m, 5H), 6.47– 6.37 (m, 2H), 4.25 (s, 1H), 3.87–3.81 (m, 1H), 3.62–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.10 (m, 1H), 3.03 (m, 1H), 2.67 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.55–1.51 (m, 1H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.03–1.01 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.92–0.90 ppm (d, J= 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.6, 137.2, 135.0, 129.2, 127.2, 125.6, 105.2, 74.4, 72.8, 56.7, 53.3, 49.4, 49.2, 49.0, 22.1, 15.6, 14.8 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2972, 1094, 743 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}O_2$: 284.1776; found: 284.1774.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,2-dimethyl alcohol 27: By use of the same procedure as for $16a$, 27 (56.0 mg, 96%) was obtained by p-TsOH hydrolysis of the product from the reaction of $26a$ (43.7 mg), NBS (30 mg), and MeOH (1 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:3). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.41$ (s, 1H), 7.37–7.10 (m, 5H), 4.37–4.36 (m, 1H), 4.20–4.16 (m, 1H), 3.77 (br s, 1H), 3.55–3.54 (m, 1H), 3.40 (m, 1H), 3.16 (m, 1H), 2.36 (m, 1H), 2.19–2.14 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.89 (d, $J=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.04–0.98 ppm $(m, 6H);$ ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 205.2, 136.3, 129.0, 127.2, 126.6, 89.0, 79.2, 69.2, 57.5, 56.3, 51.5, 51.4, 51.0, 34.2, 25.5, 17.3, 13.0 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3456$, 1710 cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z : 381 [M⁺]; HRMS (EI): m/z : calcd for C₁₉H₂₅O₃Br: 381.1065; found: 381.1088.

Norbornene aldehyde 1,2-dimethyl silylether 28: By use of the same procedure as for 16 a, 28 (49.7 mg, 94%) was obtained from 27 (32.4 mg), TBDPSCl (49 μ L), imidazole (25.5 mg), and DMF (0.6 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:10). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 9.48$ (s, 1H), 7.62–7.09 (m, 15H), 4.73–4.70 (m, 1H), 4.27–4.25 (m, 1H), 3.65–3.59 (m, 2H), 3.39–3.38 (m, 1H), 3.16–3.16 (m, 1H), 2.39–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.12 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.86 (m, 1H), 1.51 $(s, 3H)$, 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.92–0.90 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 3H), 0.86–0.84 ppm (d, $J=$ 6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 205.6, 136.6, 135.9, 135.8,$ 129.6, 129.5, 129.0, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 126.6, 88.9, 78.7, 73.5, 60.4, 56.9, 56.4, 51.8, 51.6, 27.0, 25.4, 19.0, 16.6, 16.0, 14.2 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 1712 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB): m/z : 641 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{35}H_{43}BrNaO_3Si$: 641.2263 $[M^+ +Na]$; found: 641.2064.

1,2-Dimethyl silylether 29: By use of the same procedure as for 18 a, 29 $(62.4 \text{ mg}, 96\%)$ and **7a** $(39.9 \text{ mg}, 95\%)$ were obtained from **28** (122.1 mg) , $Zn(OTf)$ ₂ (429 mg), Zn (258 mg), and DMA (1.5 mL). Eluent for chromatography: AcOEt/hexane (1:6). **29**: Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -9.67$ $(c=0.75, \text{CHCl}_3)$; the optical purity of 29 a (97% ee) was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak OD-H, hexane/iPrOH (150:1), 0.5 mLmin⁻ flow rate, 263 nm wavelength; retention times: 13.60 min and 14.81 min for (\pm)-29 and 13.81 min for (+)-29); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.69–7.65 (m, 4H), 7.46–7.38 (m, 6H), 3.80–3.76 (m, 2H), 2.24 (br s, 1H), 1.70 (s, 9H), 1.05–1.03 (d, $J=6$ Hz, 3H), 1.00–0.98 ppm (d, $J=6$ Hz, 3H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.8, 135.7, 134.1, 133.7, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 127.6, 73.0, 70.9, 27.0, 19.3, 17.2, 16.4 ppm; IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 3439 cm⁻¹; FAB MS: m/z : 351 [M⁺+Na]; HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $C_{20}H_{28}NaO_2Si$: 351.1756 $[M^+ +Na]$; found: 351.1752.

Acknowledgement

Financial support from Monbusho (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, No. 706: Dynamic Control of Stereochemistry) and Promotion of Science is gratefully acknowledged

thesis 1993, 1029-1056; f) E. Santaniello, P. Ferraboschi, P. Grisenti, A. Manzocchi, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1071 – 1140; g) T. Harada, A. Oku, Synlett 1994, 95 – 104.

- [2] For recent studies on desymmetrization of meso-1,2-diols, see: a) S. Mizuta, M. Sadamori, T. Fujimoto, I. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 3505 – 3507; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3383 – 3385; b) N. Maezaki, A. Sakamoto, N. Nagahashi, M. Soejima, Y. Li, T. Imamura, N. Kojima, H. Ohishi, K. Sakaguchi, C. Iwata, T. Tanaka, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3284 – 3291; c) T. Oriyama, K. Imai, T. Sano, T. Hosoya, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3529 – 3533; d) M. Kinugasa, T. Harada, A. Oku, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9067 – 9068; e) E. Vedejs, O. Daugulis, S. T. Diver, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 430-431.
- [3] a) T. Harada, K. Sekiguchi, T. Nakamura, J. Suzuki, A. Oku, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3309 – 3312; b) T. Oriyama, T. Hosoya, T. Sano, Heterocycles 2000, 69, 1054 – 1069; c) T. Harada, Y. Ikemura, H. Nakajima, A. Oku, Chem. Lett. 1990, 1441 – 1444; d) T. Harada, K. Sakamoto, Y. Ikemura, A. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3097-3100; e) J. Ichikawa, M. Asami, T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett. 1984, 949 – 952.
- [4] a) K. Ishihara, M. Kubota, H. Yamamoto, Synlett 1994, 611-614; b) S. Yamada, H. Katsumata, Chem. Lett. 1998, 995 – 996; c) B. M. Trost, T. Mino, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2410 – 2411.
- [5] a) H. Fujioka, Y. Nagatomi, H. Kitagawa, Y. Kita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12016-12017; b) H. Fujioka, Y. Nagatomi, N. Kotoku, H. Kitagawa, Y. Kita, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7309 – 7312; c) H. Fujioka, Y. Nagatomi, N. Kotoku, H. Kitagawa, Y. Kita, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 10 141 – 10 151.
- [6] a) H. Fujioka, N. Kotoku, Y. Nagatomi, Y. Kita, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1829 – 1832; b) H. Fujioka, N. Kotoku, T. Fujita, R. Inoguchi, K. Murai, Y. Nagatomi, Y. Sawama, Y. Kita, Chirality 2003, 15, $60 - 67$
- [7] At the beginning of the work, we supposed that discrimination between the two oxygen atoms depends on the stability of the cationic intermediates from intramolecular bromoetherification. (See ref. [5], where the direction of discrimination expected from the activation energy is the same as the direction from the stability of the cationic intermediates.) Later, during the work on optical resolution (ref. [6]), the obtained direction was found to be opposite to the direction expected from the stability of the cation intermediates, but the same as the order of activation energies. We therefore suppose that the genuine factor for discrimination of the two oxygen atoms of the acetal is the difference in the activation energies of the transition states.
- [8] H. Honig, P. Seufer-Wasserthal, H. Weber, Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 3841 – 3850.
- [9] K. Omura, A. K. Sharma, D. Swern, J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 957-962.
- [10] Chiral hydrobenzoin is readily available in both enantiomeric forms by asymmetric synthesis. See: Z.-M. Wang, K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 8302 – 8303.
- [11] CCDC-230171 contains the supplementary crystallo-graphic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK: fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or e-mail; deposit@ccdc.cam.ac. uk).
- [12] K. P. Lok, I. J. Jakovac, J. B. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2521 – 2526.
- [13] D. Grandjean, P. Pale, J. Chuche, *Tetrahedron* **1991**, 47, 1215-1230.
- [14] Compound 7a is stable when it stands at room temperature although it has an aldehyde functionionality. Its stability may depend on its crystallization at room temperature, although other norbornene aldehydes, such as 1a, 1b, and 7b, are oils and need refrigeration for prolonged storage.
- [15] K. Takano, G. Watanabe, H. Yasui, K. Tadano, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2941 – 2943.
- [16] P. O'Brien, J. J. Tournayre, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 17527-17542.

Received: May 6, 2004 Published online: September 23, 2004

^[1] For example, see: a) A. P. Davis, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 609-612; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 591-594; b) A. Fadel, P. Arzel, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 283 – 291; c) E. Schoffers, A. Golebiowski, C. R. Johnson, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 3769 – 3826; d) F. Theil, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2203 – 2227; e) L. Banfi, G. Guanti, Syn-